ISRG Journal of Arts, Humanities and Social Sciences (ISRGJAHSS)

ISRG PUBLISHERS
Abbreviated Key Title: ISRG J Arts Humanit Soc Sci
ISSN: 2583-7672 (Online)
Journal homepage: https://isrgpublishers.com/isrgjahss
Volume– IV Issue -IV (July - August) 2026
Frequency: Bimonthly



Cognitive Processes in Early Word Acquisition

Timothy C. Lloyd, Ph.D., D. Min.

Florida SouthWestern State College/ Adjunct Professor/Content Expert/School of Health Professions

| **Received:** 08.07.2026 | **Accepted:** 12.07.2026 | **Published:** 18.07.2026

***Corresponding author:** Timothy C. Lloyd, Ph.D., D. Min.

Abstract

Learning the first words of a language and the mental processes that underlie the process determine the further development of language skills and cognitive abilities. The paper intends to provide a comprehensive and critical analysis of the literature on the fundamental processes of early word learning and the related large-scale education practice and intervention plan. Based on the work by Andrä et al. (2020), there are critical vocabulary learning practices that entail multisensory learning. It is accompanied by better memory for words in school-aged children when gestures and pictures are incorporated. There are some cognitive advantages of handwriting for typewriting, according to Ose Askvik et al. (2020). They opt for conventional teaching methods to foster neural interest and mental development. The study acknowledges the fact that methods of word learning from the early years must include sensory, social, and environmental incorporation. It calls for the provision of linguistically rich contexts. They seek to offer enough practice and meaningful interaction experience with the language, whatever it may be. Furthermore, it promotes multisensory learning in educational curricula to ensure that existing diversity in learning is effectively catered for and the brain is fully engaged. It emphasizes the need to retain some instructional practices, namely writing, in harmony with technological teaching achievements. Learning also supports a symbiotic digitization model, which leverages digital technology while maintaining the thought-processing advantages that result from touch. The authors insist on the importance of differentiated instruction, which considers individual differences in educational styles and knowledge processing. Early first words are essential for predicting development and learning achievements in the education system. Knowledge of word acquisition processes alongside an understanding of educational practices based on empirical findings.

Keywords: Early learning, Multisensory instruction, Gesture, visual, Differentiated instruction

Copyright © ISRG Publishers. All rights Reserved.
DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.21424691

Cognitive Process in Early Word Learning

The cognitive mechanisms of early word learning underlie the broader question of how children come to possess linguistics skills. It is one of the most fundamental areas of mental development. In early word learning, young children can engage in various cognitive activities, including mapping sounds onto meanings that enable them to pick up vocabulary items and grammatical frameworks for effective communication. Earlier historical approaches by theorists such as Jean Piaget and Lev Vygotsky pointed out that cognitive development interrelates with language acquisition (Bornstein & Lamb,2011). Such bases have laid the necessary groundwork for recent research, which had advanced methodologies from earlier works. The research deepens a general understanding of cognitive development and has important implications for educational practice and remediation strategies for language delay. Such knowledge can guide the design of effective instructional strategies and interventions to support optimal language development in children. The paper argues that a detailed examination of the cognitive processes in early word learning reveals some critical insights that can significantly improve educational approaches. It also entails intervention strategies for facilitating language development in young children.

Role of Gestures and Pictures

Learning gestures and pictures as used in early vocabulary development positively impacts word acquisition and recall by children. Such a multisensory approach is based on integrating natural ties between the brain's visual and motor functions for more successful learning. Andrä et al. (2020) examined whether those methods affect vocabulary memory in children of eight years of age. The study provided more information on how mechanisms work and the extent of adding gestures and pictures in language learning.

The research involved a more controlled experiment on 120 eight-year-old children. Subjects were randomly assigned to a traditional learning group, a gesture-based learning group, and a picture-based learning group. The conventional learning group received regular vocabulary instructions using verbal repetition and written words. The gesture-based group learned new vocabulary through actions associated with a word, whereas the picture-based group employed images to reinforce the meaning of these very words. All other extraneous variables were controlled in the randomized controlled trial design. The causal effects of gestures and pictures were relevant. Cally was isolated and measured.

The vocabulary retention and recall measures used by the researchers included a pretest measuring the baseline knowledge of each child in terms of vocabulary. After the intervention, immediate post-tests assessing short-term vocabulary acquisition tests to examine long-term retention. For the study, primary measures included the number of words correctly recalled by a child and the accuracy of definitions they provided. Such a longitudinal approach enabled the authors to trace how far the learning effects were carried into the future. The results of the study were impressive. The two groups did much better in tests after lectures and follow-up tests than the traditional learning group. For instance, children in the gesture-based group remembered an average of 75% of vocabulary words immediately after learning, compared to the conventional 55%. The picture-based group also did much better, remembering 70% of words. Such lead differences were seen in the three- and six-month follow-up in many ways, clearly depicted by how the gesture and picture groups performed. The findings suggest that multisensory learning strategies create more durable memory traces in the brain.

The findings make a significant contribution to educational practice and cognitive theory. From an academic perspective, embedding gestures and pictures in vocabulary teaching is sometimes a straightforward and powerful means of enhancing learning outcomes. Teachers and instructional experts can use these strategies to establish engaging and effective language learning environments. Moreover, action-based learning activities and visual aids in class will facilitate better internalization and absorption of new vocabulary by children. It is necessary for improved language skills and an essential factor in enhancing their academic performance.

The study has discussed how perceptual modalities run into and overlap in the brain's language-learning processes. Gestures are motor movements and concern motorial brain processes, while pictures relate to visual processing. Such multisensory engagement makes learning more reachable and fun for children. The work can inform theories on embodied cognition in which cognitive processes are firmly grounded in the interactions of the body with the world. Children can gain a more concrete and permanent understanding of new words through their bodies by gesturing and through their visual system through pictures. Vocabulary retention is higher in both the gesture-based and picture-based groups, pointing out many advantages that come with the use of multisensory learning approaches. The implications of the study are of practical significance in educational approaches. They suggest that integrating the methods enhances the understanding and recall of young kids in linguistic proficiency. Besides, it also extends knowledge of cognitive processes that underlie word learning and the value of integrating the senses. The focus is to provide circumstances that facilitate lasting and meaningful learning.

Theta Oscillations and Active Learning

Theta oscillations play a significant role in the learned cognition and memory that comes along when learning about words. Another study by Begus and Bonawitz (2020) focuses on the role and interaction of theta oscillations in infant teaching. It gives the first evidence of brain rhythm involved in another language translation and cognitive development for word acquisition. When the design is merged with the participants' interpretations, it provides intriguing data regarding the neural structure underlying early word acquisition.

The study deployed a longitudinal experiment on six to twelve-month-old infants. There were 30 infant participants in the study. Active learning in infants is crucial between 6 and 12 months. They were taken through sequences of learning tasks meant to focus their attention and encourage active exploration. Such activities were designed carefully to be age-appropriate and elicit from the infants associating objects with sounds and words uttered. The researchers targeted the age group for their ability to capture the onset of word learning. It was also influenced by mapping the development course of the cognitive processes involved in learning novel words throughout infancy.

The principal measure used in the research was electroencephalography (EEG), a non-invasive method of recording electrical activity in the brain. EEG was used to monitor and analyze the presence of theta oscillations while the subjects performed tasks for learning's sake. The connected electrodes were

Copyright © ISRG Publishers. All rights Reserved.
DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.21424691

placed on the infant's scalp to capture the brain wave activity from the learning tasks. Such tasks involve active infants' responses toward the visual and auditory stimuli. It entailed looking at the pictures of things upon hearing the words and measuring the frequency and amplitude of the theta oscillations. The research found that theta oscillations were much more significant during the infant's subject engagement than during the arousal-induced passive rating task. Such changes in theta activity were most notable at moments of high engagement, such as when the infants matched objects to the sounds they made. Higher theta activity during learning was associated with better performance, predicting better performance.

The outcomes of Begus and Bonawitz (2020) have significant implications concerning the cognitive mechanisms that may work in early word learning. The present study also suggests that the theta oscillation may be neural evidence for a learning mechanism. It is designed to support the acquisition and if new information is to be retained in the brain. The correlation findings will again validate the support from theta activity, which may make theta oscillations a general biomarker for the task early in development. Besides, the results could also help advise educational strategies within educational interventions for children with atypical language development or delay. If young children's early responsiveness to learning is very high, then perhaps educators and caregivers could increase early word learning and cognitive development.

The present findings prove the importance of theta oscillations in the cognitive processes supporting early word learning. The increase in theta activity during active learning tasks and the correlation with memory retention implicate the importance of active engagement in early cognitive development. Such findings may be crucial as they can best design educational strategies and interventions toward optimal language acquisition and mental growth in the earlier years of an infant. A knowledgeable background about theta oscillations in adults can enable educators and caregivers to create enriched learning environments that support the foundational mechanisms of language development.

Theory of Mind and Language Development

Understanding the link between ToM and language development is critical for understanding how children acquire and use language within a social context. The theory of mind can be described as a mentalistic framework that enables people to imagine what other individuals could know instead of their knowledge. Cognitive ability is also linked with language acquisition because both require information and anticipation about people’s actions. Ebert (2020) wrote that the studies in development relations explain how early language and theory of mind evolve to shape other cognitive assets.

The study is a Longitudinal research design examining the theory of mind and reading from early childhood to early adolescence. The design maintained a cohort of children monitored over several years. On multiple occasions, it checked the language abilities, theory-of-mind skills, and reading comprehension. The participants included a randomly selected sample of children from diverse backgrounds, and the generalization was suitably covered. Standardized language assessment tools and reading comprehension tests were employed as measures. The language skills at four years were used and proved to be strong predictors for children's theory-of-mind abilities at six years. Such a result shows that language offers the tools for articulating and comprehending mental states. It makes language acquisition an enhancer of developing the theory of mind. For example, complex grammar and vocabulary help express and understand thoughts and beliefs. Only quantitative and qualitative combined measures give a nuanced understanding of how language components contribute to the theory of mind development.

The study highlighted that children with more sophisticated theories of mind at the outset had comparatively better reading comprehension years later. It clarifies that it is not a question of the theory of mind abilities just being helped by language development. Instead, they also support other cognitive domains like reading. Research centered on a story's character's thoughts and motivations facilitates children's constitution of meaning from more challenging texts. The review's findings suggested that language-ability-enhancing interventions may cascade effect on theory-of-mind and reading-comprehension skills. That means it could turn out that the richness of the language environment in early childhood education has implications for broader cognitive development.

Practical implications for educational strategies and interventions are also put forward in the study by Ebert in 2020. The findings suggest that activities promoting language and theory of mind development might be particularly productive. Storytelling and discussing the perspectives of a character in a book support the simultaneous growth of both language skills and theory of mind. Educators and parents could put such strategies into use to enable interactive, engaging learning experiences that underpin cognitive growth. Early detection of language delays could allow for early interventions targeting the language deficit, the theory of mind, and other cognitive developments.

The longitudinal study supports the notion that the development of language and the theory of mind in children are intertwined. It gives a sweeping view of how cognitive processes follow a developmental trajectory since it finds the predictive nature of early language skills for later theory-of-mind. The outcome points to significant influences on educational practice by emphasizing interventions that could nurture language and Theory of Mind conjointly. Such holistic approaches can provide a solid cognitive base for children to progress and ultimately improve in contested everyday social and more formal academic tasks later in life. The connections across the domains can be explored in search of more effective educational strategies generating improved outcomes in the all-round development of children.

Social Brain and Language Learning

Li and Jeong (2020) also investigate the complicated interaction between the social brain and second language learning. They underscore that social interaction is necessary for language acquisition. They explain from a multidisciplinary point of view exactly how the social mechanisms of the brain may help a person learn and master a language, hence clearly opening a window to the cognitive underpinnings of linguistic development. It provides a neuroscientific dimension to the study by applying functional magnetic resonance imaging in a social setting, as opposed to non-social, during second language learning tasks. The participants were adults who differed by native-speaker-like proficiency in the target language. The study involved them in performing language learning tasks individually or in groups. Neural responses to

Copyright © ISRG Publishers. All rights Reserved.
DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.21424691

activity within areas related to social cognition, language processing, and executive functions were measured.

Results indicate distinct neural signatures of social interaction in second-language learning. Brain regions dedicated to theory-of-mind and interpersonal processing were more activated when participants worked on language tasks together than in independent learning conditions. Moreover, increased activity within language comprehension and production areas was assessed. Therefore, enhanced linguistic processing in social contexts is suggested. Interpretations of the findings reveal social interaction's role in scaffolding second language acquisition. In such a view, the ability of the social brain to adopt perspectives and socially coordinate with others allows language learning by providing opportunities for meaningful communication and learning experiences through collaboration. By having language learning embedded in social interaction, learners are exposed to linguistic input, which helps takers accelerate acquiring and consolidating new linguistic knowledge.

The findings bear on the general topic of cognitive processes in early word learning in a way that emphasizes the social-interactive moderators of language. Children learn a language through rich social exposure and caregiver interaction. It lays the foundation for language acquisition since it provides exemplary models of languages at work and engaging opportunities to learn interactively. Similarly, establishing collaborative learning environments and enhancing peer interactions would maximize language learning outcomes. It can be done by tapping into the social brain's innate propensity toward social learning and communication.

The implications of the research extend beyond language acquisition to educational practices and interventions aimed at optimizing language learning experiences. By putting social interaction at the center of language development, educators can construct teaching strategies that create various opportunities for peer-to-peer collaboration through group discussions. They can also include cooperative learning tasks that allow students to enhance language learning. Winston presents similar interventions, primarily meant for language delay, which can consist of social elements in the form of peer tutoring or groups indicated for therapy. Such research by Li and Jeong shows that the social brain is inseparable from language learning. Second, it agrees with the transformative role of social interaction in shaping linguistic development. The study gives valuable insights into how cognitive processes interact with social experiences to facilitate language learning. It brings forward neural mechanisms underlying second-language acquisition in social contexts. Those insights also find their way into educational practices and interventions designed to enable optimal language development in children and adults. A straightforward implication of the findings is that embedding social interaction is a significant component within language learning environments.

Digital Language Learning

Digitally mediated language learning, or DLL, has emerged in the past years as a potential means of enhancing language ability in young learners. Li and Lan (2020) addressed how DLLs affect behavior and brain activation to advance knowledge of their future effects on early word acquisition. The design would employ experimental and neuroimaging methodologies alongside a mixed-method approach. They aimed to observe the impact of digital language acquisition on children’s linguistic abilities and neuronal activity. Participants for the research work were selected from lower classes in two different schools and were randomly assigned into two groups. They were the traditional classroom learning group and the digital language learning group. The experimental group, which performed digital language learning, employed software and multimedia aids in content language discussions, whereas the control group performed the usual classroom instructions.

The interventions were pre- and post-tests on their language skills and neuroimaging to activate neural networks for the language used during the tasks. The findings showed that the children in the digital language learning intervention took longer to learn words and could fluently produce simple sentences than those in the traditional learning condition. In addition, neuroimaging scans showed increased activity within Broca’s and Wernicke’s areas. It could suggest more efficient neural encoding of language stimuli. The implication shows that digital language learning platforms may benefit children’s early word learning. Education technology is mobile, and it is the primary motivation that can foster better learning results. Furthermore, modifying pre- and post-synaptic activities denotes that DLL might contribute to the formation of neural specialization for language. It would also enhance long-term linguistic ability.

The probable limitations and challenges of digital language learning must be considered. While the study's outcome proved the short-term benefits of DLL, long-term effects and sustainability are yet to be unraveled. Moreover, inequities in access to technology and digital resources could cause existing inequalities in educational opportunities to progress. Integrating digital language learning into an academic setting must include features that answer such concerns and provide equal opportunities for children. The results show that there is high potential for digital language learning to be a tool of great effectiveness in improving early word learning and language development. Educators can use technology to achieve the teaching setup to enhance language instruction and support children's cognitive and linguistic growth. Further research may also shed more light on the mechanisms underlying DLL effectiveness and hold prospects, allowing evidence-based design of educational interventions.

The study by Li and Lan (2022) offers some insight into the advantages of language learning via digital media for children's language acquisition. With the help of digital tools and multimedia resources, educators can piece together interactive learning experiences that engage children extensively. It would ease their acquisition of new words and linguistic skills. Serious attention needs to be taken to questions of access and equity so all children might benefit from these language learning innovations. There is good potential for digital language learning to guide the development of an early robust tool in supporting word learning and promoting language development.

Paper vs. Screen Reading

The debate concerning the effectiveness of reading on paper versus screens is an age-old one in the digital age. Furenes, Kucirkova, and Bus (2021) examined children's reading experiences regarding the understanding and retention of texts read on paper versus those on screen. Their study embeds some precious insights into the cognitive processes partaking in early word learning while giving strong impetus to educational practices via reading formats. The study applied a meta-analytic approach where the data was aggregated systematically from many empirical studies that tested

Copyright © ISRG Publishers. All rights Reserved.
DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.21424691

the effects of reading format on children's comprehension. Their sample included both experimental and observational designs. Therefore, the results of the investigations covered all possible bases regarding the topic. The participants ranged from preschool-age children to adolescents, representing a broad demographic sample. The measures of comprehension and retention differed across studies. It included standardized reading comprehension tests with recall tasks on reading fluency.

Furenes et al.2021 findings are an exciting pattern of dissimilarities between paper and screen reading in children. The results showed that reading texts on paper contributed to better understanding and retention than reading on screens. Children reading from traditional, paper-based materials performed higher in reading comprehension tests and showed better recall than those reading from digital screens. Such findings suggest that manipulating actual pages might add to more profound cognitive processing and better information encoding. Interpretations of the data suggest a more complicated relationship between the reading format and mental engagement. The tactile feedback of paper-based materials may improve the children's sensory experiences, which may help them connect more deeply with the text and ensure active cognitive processing. Either way, the spatial layout of the printed pages could let them move more quickly through the text and visually organize information on it.

The digital screens are interactive, with disorienting and loud multimedia enhancements that may contribute to poor comprehension by distraction. Such findings go beyond the level of reading preference and extend to primary considerations regarding educational practices and interventions. Each teacher and parent need to be very cautious concerning the format of reading material they expose the child to. Where digital technologies unquestionably assist in accessibility and multimedia integration, they are sometimes inappropriate for optimal learning experiences, especially in word learning in the early years. Moreover, Furenes et al. (2021) state that new technology needs to be balanced with traditional methods. With digital literacy becoming ever more relevant in contemporary times, educators cannot afford to let the effectiveness of printed text in promoting more profound understanding and higher-order thinking fall by the wayside. Children can access a range of means for literacy skill development that may better balance differing preferences and learning needs.

The study's findings demonstrate how the choice between paper and screen reading interacts in complex ways with cognitive processes. They can influence educational outcomes at some level. Assuming the fairly central role of paper-based reading materials that generate higher cognitive benefits, the question remains how to thoughtfully select appropriate formats for reading in educational settings. Such a balance embodies regard for digital innovations on one hand and attention to pedagogical advantages that traditional print media convey. They ensure optimum learning experiences for all children.

Bilingualism and Cognitive Advantage

Bilingualism has recently attracted the interest of cognitive psychology because researchers have been investigating the potential benefits that bilingualism exerts on different mental functions. Gunnerud et al. 2020) conducted a systematic review and meta-analysis on the joining concept of bilingualism with cognitive advantage during childhood. The study was conducted as a comprehensive review of literature about the chosen subject matter to synthesize empirical evidence regarding the mental benefits of bilingualism. It also aimed to explore the implications for educational practices. The study was a systematic review with meta-analysis that combined results across multiple studies examining the cognitive effects of bilingualism in children. The eligibility criteria indicated that studies dealt with cognitive functions like executive control and working memory and compared bilingual children with monolingual children. Thus, including all the studies taken up for the review meant there was variation in age and SES of participants. Therefore, it provided a very diverse sample for analysis.

The systematic review and meta-analysis included various studies that assessed cognitive functions in bilingual and monolingual children using different measures. Standardized cognitive tests, such as the Stroop task and Wisconsin Card Sorting Test, were among the shared assessment tools. They measure executive functions like inhibitory control and cognitive shifting. In addition, some studies used neuroimaging techniques to assess neural correlates of the bilingualism-related advantages at the cognitive level. The results that Gunnerud et al. came back within their 2020 systematic review and meta-analysis contributed consistent evidence to the cognitive benefits of bilingualism in children. Particularly concerning executive control, superior performance was leading in bilingual children compared to their peers who could speak one language. Some concrete better performances noticed from bilingual children were tasks involving cognitive flexibility and attentional processes. The neuroimaging research has provided more details on the neural level of realization regarding the cognitive advantages. It revealed increased activity of brain regions related to executive functions in bilingual subjects.

The findings suggest that bilingual children increased cognitive control comes from their continual need to control and switch between languages. Indeed, bilingual experience comprises challenges to mental control. There is the need to choose the correct target language, inhibit the nontarget language, and switch linguistic systems. The compensatory enhanced cognitive control mechanisms developed in the face of such uniquely bilingual experiences give bilinguals better executive functions. The results from the study extend into pedagogical practices and interventions in language teaching. Their findings emphasized maintaining and promoting bilingualism and multilingualism within educational setups. It could involve the bureaucratization of bilingual education programs in practice. The opportunities are presented to students to develop and foster proficiency in multiple languages while enhancing their cognition skills. It may also mean that the interventions on executive functions are very effective for granting monolingual children experience and improving their mental flexibility and inhibitory control.

The authors corroborate through the provided systematic review and meta-analyses that bilingualism confers some cognitive benefits for children. The research discloses that empirical evidence from different studies demonstrates the firm rapport linking bilingualism to superior executive functioning. Thus, the findings emphasize promoting bilingual education and designing interventions oriented toward developing cognitive skills in children for optimal mental development and fruitful academic success.

Nutritional Interventions

Nutritional interventions across the period of early childhood are very instrumental in supporting cognitive development, including that of word learning. Roberts et al. 2022 performed a systematic

Copyright © ISRG Publishers. All rights Reserved.
DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.21424691

review of the literature on the effects of various nutritional interventions on the cognitive development of preschool-age children. It included double-masked randomized controlled trials and observational studies assessing dietary supplements and overall diet quality for cognitive outcomes. Overall, participants in all the included studies were children of preschool age, mostly 3 to 5 years. The nutritional interventions differed from supplementation with iron and vitamin D to omega-3 fatty acids. It also entailed general enhancement of dietary quality through balanced diets in the various studies under review. Such a systematic review gives a variety of conclusions on the effects of nutritional interventions on cognitive development in preschool-age children. Supplementation with specific micronutrients demonstrated improved cognitive function related to memory and the ability to acquire language. Iron supplementation helps enhance young children's verbal fluency and word-learning competence across several studies. Such an enhancement supports optimal brain functioning and neurotransmitter synthesis. It was backed by interventions aimed at raising the overall quality of diets, including promoting healthy eating and increasing fruit and vegetable consumption, which also benefit cognitive development. Better adherence to generally healthier diets rich in crucial nutrient content improved children's mental performance, indicated by their better word-learning abilities and language comprehension. It shows that holistic approaches to nutrition are helpful to cognitive development in childhood.

The findings by Roberts et al. (2022) have broad implications for how nutrition contributes to the processes underlying early word learning and cognitive development. First, they underline that optimal nutrient intake is essential in the critical period of very early childhood, during which the rate of brain development is maximal. Nutritional deficiencies lower cognitive function and hamper word-learning abilities. Such considerations underscore a need for targeted interventions to address nutritional gaps in at-risk populations. It further points out nutritional interventions that seem to offer a promising avenue to prevent language delays and learning disorders. Optimization of brain health through better nutrition can place educators and healthcare providers in a better position for cognitive development in children who are more prepared for language acquisition and literacy.

The findings reiterate that early intervention strategies should incorporate nutritional and educational intervention in children with receptive language or cognitive impairment. Improvement in the potential for improved word learning outcomes through nutrition education and support integrated into early childhood programs can help reduce the negative impact of suboptimal nutrition on children's cognitive functioning. Therefore, the systematic review provides strong evidence for the role of nutrition intervention in improving cognitive development at an early age in language learning. Educators and caregivers can help optimize children's cognitive function and lay a foundation for successful language acquisition and literacy development.

Iconicity in Word Learning

Iconicity has been strongly related to word learning, especially in early childhood. Nielsen and Dingemanse (2021) critically reviewed the implications of iconicity for word learning, underscoring how children learn vocabulary from sound-symbolic properties. Logically, it is vital to understand cognitive mechanisms deployed in early language acquisition and relevant to education practice and intervention. The research synthesized available literature on iconicity in word learning. They included several empirical studies whose results were harnessed with different methodologies. Data from these other sources were synthesized in the present study to establish a clear understanding of how iconicity supports word learning in various contexts.

Studies reviewed by Nielsen and Dingemanse (2021) include participants of very different ages and cultural contexts, underlining the variety of word-learning experiences. Whereas some studies deal with infants testing their reactions to sound-symbolic words in controlled laboratory experiments, others test iconicity effects in bilingual or multilingual populations. The samples involving very diversified respondents underscored the generalizability of the findings across different age brackets and language communities. The main measures applied within the studies reviewed here ranged from behavioral to eye-tracking experiments, neuroimaging techniques, and linguistic analyses. Behavioral assessments involved tasks like word-object association, in which participants were presented with sound-symbolic words and asked to match them with corresponding objects or actions.

Eye-tracking experiments measured participants' gaze patterns while viewing iconic and non-iconic stimuli to address attentional processes during word learning. Neuroimaging techniques surveyed neural correlates associated with the processing of iconicity. It looked into areas of the brain involved in processing sound-symbolic words. Linguistic analyses have been conducted for the structural and semantic properties of words within the iconic category, specifying how iconic mappings occur. According to the review of the studies reviewed, iconicity facilitates word learning by enhancing perceptual salience and semantic mapping. Infants and toddlers offer preferences for words with sound-symbol correspondences over arbitrary words in word-object association tasks. They indicate an innate sensitivity toward iconic mappings. Similarly, iconic words elicited faster and more accurate responses in lexical retrieval tasks, so iconicity would seem to increase the speed of word recognition and comprehension.

Neuroimaging research showed that iconic words elicited more activation in sensorimotor and language areas, indicative of embodied cognitive mechanisms. Such findings prove that iconicity is a cognitive scaffold for early word learning, filling a gap between linguistic signs and their referents. Iconic words exploit cross-modal correspondences between sounds and meanings in ways that can facilitate lexical acquisition. It makes the association between language and the world of experience more accessible. The relatively large number of iconic mappings across languages underlines their adaptive function in communication since it allows efficient transmission and reception of information. The results of the study by Nielsen and Dingemanse have a bearing on educational practices and interventions that foresee means of improving language development in children.

Educators could take advantage of the natural propensity for iconicity to design instructional materials and learning activities. It should involve words that make sound-symbol correspondences, gestures, and visual representations. Such multisensory enrichment strategies may strengthen vocabulary acquisition and deepen conceptual understanding, especially among children with language delays. Such knowledge of the iconicity effect can also be used to determine what assistive technologies and communication aids are most suitable to assist people. The critical

Copyright © ISRG Publishers. All rights Reserved.
DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.21424691

review concludes that iconicity is crucial in word learning, with broader and more significant implications for cognitive development and education. It synthesizes empirical evidence from various sources to shed light on the mechanisms involved in iconic mappings and their facilitatory effects on lexical acquisition. Understanding the cognitive mechanisms of iconicity will potentially be relevant for educational practice and particular interventions to support language learning in children for more inclusive environments.

Handwriting vs. Typewriting

The debate over the cognitive benefits of writing by hand versus typewriter is widely heralded in the digital age. In contribution to the discourse, Ose Askvik, Van der Weel, and van der Meer bring their study (2020), which is engaged with establishing the prominence of cursive handwriting over typewriting for learning in the classroom. High-density EEG measures will measure cognitive engagement in the study's children 12 years of age and young adults. It was a within-subject quasi-experiment design with two different kinds of written exercises. One was assigned to a group doing their handwritten types, and the other to those engaged in typewritten exercises. Measurements included behavioral observations and high-density EEG recordings during both writing tasks. Results showed specific neural patterns for each writing modality, where greater neural activation in the regions associated with motor control and memory encoding is produced with cursive handwriting.

The findings make meaningful suggestions for the processes involved in early word learning and, more generally, cognitive development. Handwriting at an early stage of skill acquisition engages multiple perceptual and motor systems, enhancing neural integration of perception and cognition. Typewriting relies on mere motor execution without the same level of sensory feedback and thus potentially reduces the possibilities of cognitive engagement and neural plasticity. Such a study also revealed the relevance of looking at the learners' stage of development. In children, it may be beneficial due to the multisensory nature of handwriting, which supports fine motor development and letter recognition. Typewriting, therefore, may not have the same cognitive benefits, especially in the initial stages of literacy acquisition.

There needs to be a balanced approach to writing instruction that must combine handwriting and typewriting. While digital literacy may be central in today's world, shelving some of the foundational skills developed by handwriting could hurt overall cognitive development. The research also identified the role of the environment in forming cognitive processes. If classroom practice tends toward typing rather than handwriting, neural stimulation might be fought. Therefore, proper and careful consideration should be taken about the implications of technology integration into educational settings by educators and policymakers. The present study offers compelling evidence for the proposed handwriting accounts in early word acquisition. It defines the neural subscriptions to handwriting and typewriting as being about multisensory experience in mental development. Such knowledge can be helpful for teachers and parents when arranging the educational process for the child and comprehensive cognitive development.

Challenges Experienced in Early Word Learning

Recognizing the first words is one of the most elemental stages of a child's development, but it is not without difficulties. Children face many challenges with the duality of learning languages as they grow up. It interferes with their capacity to assign referential meanings to phonemes and expand their verbal repository. The interference is self-generated from cognitive factors and the environment, which has demonstrated the complexity of early word learning. One of the perplexing issues in early word learning is the fact that a language is an abstract object. Words are for concepts and actions that require symbolic mapping in the child's mind with the sounds and meanings. Thus, an overwhelming degree of abstraction could be accompanied by young learners with limited thinking and language acquisition capabilities.

Language always has another intrinsic ambiguity that affects early word learners. The words often have several meanings, and thus, it might not be evident for kids to determine which of the meanings the speaker uses. Such a state of ambiguity may lead to confusion and misattribution. Idiomatic expressions and figurative language cause more issues for young children in terms of being able to learn from languages. The differences make it early yet challenging to teach words since learners vary in terms of skills and their learning ability. For instance, children differ in linguistic ability and attentional capability.

Languages have evolved since their creation, and terms have gone out of fashion and returned to fashion over time while others are used afresh. Such an aspect could be challenging for children to follow because of the rate at which it occurs. In addition, cultural and contextual factors define how it is used, thereby making learning pretty complex by allowing the child to go through various linguistic environments. It raises other issues concerning technology's role in early word learning in today's society. Technological applications create new opportunities for language acquisition while offering multiple possibilities for interference and possible distractions. Excessive television and personally received media are believed to replace actual language use, thus detrimental to vocabulary and language progress. The difficulties in learning the first set of words are associated with the nature of language and technology impact. Overcoming the obstacles is only possible with the help of a complex analysis that takes into account cognitive and environmental variables.

Addressing the Challenges

Success in early word learning must adopt a multi-faceted approach that weaves strands from cognitive psychology and developmental neuroscience. For instance, educators and caregivers must be involved in creating rich linguistic environments that expose children to various vocabularies and meaningful language interactions. It enables engagement with children in conversations and shared book-reading activities. Consequently, it would develop the language while promoting social-emotional bonding and cognitive engagement. Interventions should embody the power of multisensory learning experiences. Gestures and hands-on activities enrich language teaching and might enhance word learning by providing multiple entry points to comprehension and retention. Researchers underline how this kind of practice effectively improves vocabulary memory in school-aged children, thus suggesting that integrating sensory modalities should be part of educational practice.

People are conscious of the exceptional social nature of language learning. Li and Jeong (2020) notably mention that learning an SL relies on the social brain. The study argues that meaningful

Copyright © ISRG Publishers. All rights Reserved.
DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.21424691

interactions between peers and adults enable young children to develop a second language quickly. Thus, having collaborative learning structures and fostering peer engagement could further vocabulary learning at the tender age by engaging children in using such language through meaningful negotiations. Educational policies need to consider preserving traditional pedagogical practices of handwriting. Ose Askvik et al. (2020) demonstrate that cursive writing is more cognition-enhancing than typewriting, especially at the early stages of literacy acquisition. Therefore, such initiatives as pushing for more technology integration into classes should consider a balance between digital literacy skills and retaining handwriting instruction for holistic cognitive development.

The interventions should consider the overall differences in learning styles and cognitive abilities. It calls for personalized learning strategies to accommodate children's cognitive-affective-social characteristics so that their varied needs and strong points can be attended to. To be flexible in instruction, one can support children's word-learning experiences and provide optimal conditions for their overall cognitive development. The challenges of early word learning should be approached from the environmental and individual aspects. Nowadays, educators and policymakers can help children acquire a language and prepare them for lifelong learning by providing an environment enriched with languages that have withstood the test of time and embracing individualized learning approaches.

Biblical Integration

Applying a biblical perspective to understanding cognitive processes in early word learning provides a spiritual and mental development continuum. For instance, Proverbs 18:21 says, "Life and death are in the power of the tongue." Such sentiment places the use of language in high regard within individuals and society. Word learning from a biblical perspective is not only a cognitive achievement but spiritual and relational. Genesis 2:19-20 describes Adam's naming of the creatures, which characterizes the human potential for creative, relational language. A biblical narrative substantiates the need to involve children in naming and understanding their surroundings using language to promote cognitive and spiritual development.

Deuteronomy 6:6-7 also appeals to the personal teaching of children and further instructs that such teachings be impressed upon them at every turn of daily life. That is entirely consistent with modern approaches to education. It emphasizes the importance of a linguistically rich environment and constant interaction. Using scripture in early word learning will help coherent verbal engagement and storytelling to teach right and wrong through words, thus fostering cognitive and character development. Another critical aspect of biblical integration is the use of language in nurturing relationships. Ephesians 4:29 encourages one to use words to build others up according to their needs, pointing out the role of language in fostering positive social interaction and emotional well-being. It might indicate that encouraging positive and constructive conversations impacts children's social skills and emotional intelligence.

Conclusion

The cognitive processes involved in early word learning are, therefore, so complex and multi-faceted. The environmental and individual factors shape them. Several studies have shed light on the underlying mechanisms of word acquisition by highlighting roles from experience rich in senses and pedagogical practices. With such insights, educators and policymakers will be better placed to individualize effective intervention strategies and education designs that act toward a language-growing child. Holistic approaches to addressing learners' various needs and strengths also serve as a precondition for promoting optimal cognitive development in early childhood. Understanding the role of language would help policymakers and other stakeholders to guide children in attaining their best.

References

1. Andrä, C., Mathias, B., Schwager, A., Macedonia, M., & von Krieg stein, K. (2020). *Learning foreign language vocabulary with gestures and pictures enhances memory for several months post-learning in eight-year-old children.* Educational Psychology Review, 32(3), 815-850.
2. Begus, K., & Bonawitz, E. (2020). *The rhythm of learning: Theta oscillations as an index of active learning in infancy.* Developmental cognitive neuroscience, 45, 100810.
3. Bornstein, M. H., & Lamb, M. E. (2011*). Cognitive development: Advanced textbook* (1st ed.). New York, NY: Psychology Press.
4. Ebert, S. (2020). *Theory of mind, language, and reading: Developmental relations from early childhood to early adolescence*. Journal of experimental child psychology, 191, 104739.
5. Furenes, M. I., Kucirkova, N., & Bus, A. G. (2021). *A comparison of children's reading on paper versus screen: A meta-analysis*. Review of educational research, 91(4), 483-517.
6. Gunnerud, H. L., Ten Braak, D., Reikerås, E. K. L., Donolato, E., & Melby-Lervåg, M. (2020). *Is bilingualism related to a cognitive advantage in children? A systematic review and meta-analysis*. Psychological Bulletin, 146(12), 1059.
7. Li, P., & Jeong, H. (2020). *The social brain of language: grounding second language learning in social interaction*. npj Science of Learning, 5(1), 8.
8. Li, P., & Lan, Y. J. (2022). *Digital language learning (DLL): Insights from behavior, cognition, and the brain. Bilingualism*: Language and Cognition, 25(3), 361-378.]
9. Nielsen, A. K., & Dingemanse, M. (2021*). Iconicity in word learning and beyond* A critical review. Language and Speech, 64(1), 52-72.
10. Ose Askvik, E., Van der Weel, F. R., & van der Meer, A. L. (2020). *The importance of cursive handwriting over typewriting for learning in the classroom: A high-density EEG study of 12-year-old children and young adults*. Frontiers in Psychology, 11, 550116.
11. Roberts, M., Tolar-Peterson, T., Reynolds, A., Wall, C., Reeder, N., & Rico Mendez, G. (2022). *The effects of nutritional interventions on the cognitive development of preschool-age children:* A systematic review. Nutrients, 14(3), 532.
12. Schneider, S., Beege, M., Nebel, S., Schnaubert, L., & Rey, G. D. (2022). *The cognitive-affective-social theory of learning in digital environments (CASTLE).* Educational Psychology Review, 34(1), 1-38.

Copyright © ISRG Publishers. All rights Reserved.
DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.21424691